IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

MONDAY, THE 26TH MARCH 2007 / 5TH CHAITHRA 1929

RP.No. 353 of 2007(F)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT/ORDER IN WPC.8880/2007 Dated 16/03/2007
.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/2ND RESPONDENT

M/S.ESSAR TELECOM INFRASTRUCTURE (P) LTD (INCORRECTLY STATED AS S.R.TELECOM, ESSAR TELECOM INFRASTRUCTURE PVT.LTD., KOCHI-682 018 REP.BY ITS MANAGER IN THE CAUSE TITLE OF THE WRIT PETITION) 41/256,C-19, IIND FLOOR, MATHER SQUARE, OPP.NORTH RAILWAY STATION, COCHIN- 628 018.

BY ADV. SRI.SANTHOSH MATHEW
SRI.SATHISH NINAN

RESPONDENTS: PETITIONER & IST RESPONDENT
----

1. VINOD KUMAR, AGED 36 YEARS, S/O.KUMARAN, KAVALAKKAL, ARACKAPADI, PERUMBAVOOR.

2. THE VENGOLA GRAMA PANCHAYATH (SPECIAL GRADE), REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, VENGOLA P.O., PERUMBAVOOR.

BY ADV. SRI.T.A.UNNIKRISHNAN
SRI.SIRAJ KAROLY

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 26/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

PIUS.C.KURIAKOSE.J

R.P No. 353 of 2007
in
W.P.(C) No.8880 of 2007

Dated this the 26th day of March 2007

ORDER

Sri.T.A.Unnikrishnan Counsel for the petitioner is absent. Sri. Siraj Karoly counsel for the Panchayath agrees with Mr. Santhosh Mathew that on 16-3-2007 the permission which had been granted by the Panchayath to the 2nd respondent Mobile company had not been withdrawn. The above submission is recorded. In view of the Judgment in W.P.(C) No.10177/2007 and the submission of Mr. Siraj Karoly that orders are being passed today by the Panchayath, this review petition is disposed of without further orders.

PIUS.C.KURIAKOSE,JUDGE

es